STA 235H - Ignorability Assumption and Randomized Controlled Trials

Fall 2023

McCombs School of Business, UT Austin

Housekeeping

- Homework 2 is due this Friday.
 - Remember to ask questions in advance! (Discussion board for general q's/clarifications; email/OH if it shows your work)
 - Check your full code before submission: Instructions on the course website.
- About JITT feedback:
 - Thanks for questions/suggestions!
 - Currently ~90% ok with pace.
 - Additional support is available.
- No OH next Thursday (09/28) (changed to Tuesday; check OH calendar).

Last week

- Finished our chapter on multiple regression.
 - How to add flexibility to our model:
 - Regressions with polynomial terms.
 - For small changes in X (e.g. one-unit increase), we can approximate ΔY with the derivative!
- Introduced Causal Inference







- Continue with **causal inference**:
 - Ignorability assumption
- Introduction to Randomized Controlled Trials:
 - $\circ~$ Why do we randomize?
 - How to analyze RCTs?
 - Are there any limitations?

Similar to last week: Let's do a little exercise

Look at your green piece of paper and go to the following website



https://sta235h.rocks/week5

I will now decide whether you go to the hospital or not!

Causal Inference: Things we can "ignore"

Potential Outcomes

• Last week we discussed potential outcomes, (e.g. $Y_i(1)$ and $Y_i(0)$):

"The outcome that we would have observed under different scenarios"

- Potential outcomes are related to your choices/possible conditions:
 - One for each path!
 - Do not confuse them with the values that your outcome variable can take.
- Definition of Individual Causal Effect:

 $ICE_i = Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)$

What was the problem with comparing the sample means to get a causal effect?

Remember our exercise last week!



We are using a difference in means:

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i \in Z=1} Y_i - \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i \in Z=0} Y_i$$

to estimate:

$$\tau = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)]$$

We are using a difference in means:

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i \in Z=1} Y_i - \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i \in Z=0} Y_i$$

to estimate:

$$\tau = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)]$$

Let's do some math

 $\tau = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)] = E[Y_i(1)] - E[Y_i(0)]$

• Can we observe $E[Y_i(1)]$? and $E[Y_i(0)]$?

Key assumption:



Ignorability means that the potential outcomes Y(0) and Y(1) are independent of the treatment, e.g. $(Y(0), Y(1)) \perp \perp Z$.

$$E[Y_i(1) | Z = 0] = E[Y_i(1) | Z = 1] = E[Y_i(1)]$$

and

 $E[Y_i(0) | Z = 0] = E[Y_i(0) | Z = 1] = E[Y_i(0)]$

 $\tau = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)] = E[Y_i(1)] - E[Y_i(0)]$

Key assumption:



Ignorability means that the potential outcomes Y(0) and Y(1) are independent of the treatment, e.g. $(Y(0), Y(1)) \perp \perp Z$.

Obs. Outcome for T $E[Y_{i}(1) | Z = 0] = E[Y_{i}(1) | Z = 1] = E[Y_{i}(1)]$ and $E[Y_{i}(0) | Z = 0] = E[Y_{i}(0) | Z = 1] = E[Y_{i}(0)]$

Obs. Outcome for C

 $\tau = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)] = E[Y_i(1)] - E[Y_i(0)]$

• Under ignorability (see previous slide), $E[Y_i(1)] = E[Y_i(1) | Z = 1] = E[Y_i | Z = 1]$ and $E[Y_i(0)] = E[Y_i(0) | Z = 0] = E[Y_i | Z = 0]$, then:

Obs. Outcome for C

 $\tau = E[Y_i(1)] - E[Y_i(0)] = E[Y_i(1) | Z = 1] - E[Y_i(0) | Z = 0] = E[Y_i | Z = 1] - E[Y_i ! Z = 0]$

Obs. Outcome for T

• If the **ignorability assumption holds**, we can use the difference in means between two groups to estimate the **average treatment effect**.

Let's see an example: Why did you enroll in the Honors program?

Let's see the distributions of potential outcomes



Let's see the distributions of potential outcomes



We can only observe one distribution per group!





Under Ignorability Assumption

 $Y(0), Y(1) \perp \perp Z$

Income(0), *Income*(1) $\perp \perp$ *Honors*



What about if the ignorability assumption doesn't hold?

$Y(0), Y(1) \not\perp Z$

E.g. Individuals that can take more advantage from honors program (in terms of income) are more likely to go.





What can we do to make the ignorability assumption hold?

The Magic of Randomization

The problem with self-selection



The power of randomization

• One way to make sure the ignorability assumption holds is to do it by design:



i.e. Some units will randomly be chosen to be in the treatment group and others to be in the control group.

What does randomization buy us?

The power of randomization

• One way to make sure the ignorability assumption holds is to do it by design:



i.e. Some units will randomly be chosen to be in the treatment group and others to be in the control group.

What does randomization buy us?

No (systematic) selection on observables OR unobservables

Randomization of z



Non-Experimental Causal Graph

• Confounder is a variable that affects both the treatment AND the outcome



Let's identify some confounders

 Estimate the effect of <u>insurance vs no insurance</u> on <u>number of accidents</u> → Compare people with insurance vs people without insurance.

Estimate the effect of <u>attending office hours vs not attending</u> on your <u>grade</u> → Compare people who attend OH vs people who don't.

Experimental Causal Graph

• Due to randomization, we know that the treatment is not affected* by a confounder



If I randomize treatment allocation...

Can the treatment be potentially correlated with a confounder?



Number of people who drowned by falling into a pool correlates with Films Nicolas Cage appeared in



tylervigen.com

RCTs: The Gold Standard

The New York Times

Nobel Economics Prize Goes to Pioneers in Reducing Poverty

Three professors, Abhijit Banerjee and Esther Duflo, both of M.I.T., and Michael Kremer of Harvard, were honored.

f 🔉 🖌 📼 🔶 🗌



Abhijit Banerjee and Esther Duflo, both of M.I.T., and Michael Kremer of Harvard University won the Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences. Jonathan Nackstrand/Agence France-Presse — Getty Images

The Nobel went to economists who changed how we help the poor. But some critics oppose their big idea.

Randomized controlled trials and the debate over them, explained. By Kelsey Piper $| \mbox{ Dec 11, 2019, 9:00am EST}$

🔰 📝 share



The Laureates of The Sveriges Riksbank Prize in Economic Sciences in Memory of Alfred Nobel (L-R) Michael Kremer, Esther Duflo and Abhijit Banerjee pose after their Nobel Lectures at Stockholms University in Stockholm, Sweden, on December 8, 2019. | Photo by CHRISTINE OLSSON/TT News Agency/AFP via Getty Images

Easy! (Statistically speaking)

Easy! (Statistically speaking)



Easy! (Statistically speaking)



2) Calculate difference in sample means between treatment and control group

Let's see an example

Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal?

- Actual field experiment conducted in Boston and Chicago.
- Send out resumes with randomly assigned names:
 - Female- and male-sounding names.
 - White- and African American-sounding names
- Measure whether applicant was called back

Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal?

Variable	Description
education	0 = not reported; 1 = High school dropout (HSD); 2 = High school graduate (HSG); 3 = Some college; 4 = college +
ofjobs	Number of jobs listed on resume
yearsexp	Years of experience
computerskills	Applicant lists computer skills
sex	gender of the applicant (according to name)
race	race-sounding name
h	high quality resume
I	low quality resume
city	c = chicago, b = boston
call	applicant was called back



When we assume...

Other potential issues to have in mind

Generalizability of our estimated effects

• Where did we get our sample for our study from? Is it representative of a larger population?

Spillover effects

• Can an individual in the control group be affected by the treatment?

General equilibrium effects

• What happens if we scale up an intervention? Will the effect be the same?

Next class

- Limitations of RCTs
- Selection on observables
- The wonderful world of matching!



References

- Angrist, J. and S. Pischke. (2015). "Mastering Metrics". Chapter 1.
- Heiss, A. (2020). "Program Evaluation for Public Policy". *Class 7: Randomization and Matching, Course at BYU*
- Imbens, G. and D. Rubin. (2015). "Causal Inference for Statistics, Social, and Biomedical Sciences: An Introduction". *Chapter 1*